

## Metropolis-Hastings algorithm: simple example

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Then the likelihood function is

$$\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i}.$$

Assume the prior  $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(\lambda | a, b)$ .

The posterior density is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \cdot \pi(\lambda)}{\int \ell(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \cdot \pi(\lambda) d\lambda} \\ &\propto \underbrace{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \cdot \pi(\lambda)}_{=: f(\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Outline of a random walk Metropolis-Hastings algorithm:

**Step 1.** Given current  $\lambda^{(t)}$ , propose a new  $\lambda^* \sim N(\cdot | \lambda^{(t)}, \sigma^2)$

**Step 2.** Set

$$\lambda^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} \lambda^* & \text{w.p. } \rho(\lambda^*, \lambda^{(t)}) \\ \lambda^{(t)} & \text{w.p. } 1 - \rho(\lambda^*, \lambda^{(t)}) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\lambda^*, \lambda^{(t)}) &= \min \left\{ \frac{\pi(\lambda^* | x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot N(\lambda^{(t)} | \lambda^*, \sigma^2)}{\pi(\lambda^{(t)} | x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot N(\lambda^* | \lambda^{(t)}, \sigma^2)}, 1 \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{f(\lambda^*)}{f(\lambda^{(t)})}, 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is called the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance ratio.


**Current**  $\lambda = 1$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 1 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 0.7613$


**MH Ratio**  $= 1e - 78$

**Coin-flip** ( $\sim U(0, 1)$ )  $= 0.2788 \implies$  **Reject**

trace plot



(true) posterior density




**Current**  $\lambda = 1$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 1 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.0667$


**MH Ratio**  $= 4e + 134$

**Coin-flip**  $(\sim U(0, 1)) = 0.5027 \implies$  Accept

trace plot



(true) posterior density




**Current**  $\lambda = 2.0667$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 2.0667 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.2337$


**MH Ratio**  $= 3e + 05$

**Coin-flip**  $(\sim U(0, 1)) = 0.3707 \implies$  Accept

trace plot



(true) posterior density





**Current**  $\lambda = 2.2337$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 2.2337 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.7115$

**MH Ratio** = 1964

**Coin-flip** ( $\sim U(0, 1)$ ) = 0.2875  $\implies$  Accept





**Current**  $\lambda = 2.7115$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 2.7115 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.9276$

**MH Ratio** = 0.0005

**Coin-flip** ( $\sim U(0, 1)$ ) = 0.1298  $\implies$  Reject







**Current**  $\lambda = 2.7115$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 2.7115 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.3685$

**MH Ratio**  $= 0.2142$

**Coin-flip**  $(\sim U(0, 1)) = 0.1653 \implies$  Accept





**Current**  $\lambda = 2.3685$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 2.3685 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.5939$

**MH Ratio** = 21

**Coin-flip** ( $\sim U(0, 1)$ ) = 0.0457  $\implies$  Accept





**Current**  $\lambda = 2.5939$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 2.5939 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.0695$

**MH Ratio**  $= 5e - 10$

**Coin-flip**  $(\sim U(0, 1)) = 0.8348 \implies$  **Reject**



**Current**  $\lambda = 2.5939$

**Proposed**  $\lambda (\sim 2.5939 + N(0, 0.5^2)) = 2.0542$

**MH Ratio**  $= 1e - 10$

**Coin-flip**  $(\sim U(0, 1)) = 0.3117 \implies$  **Reject**

